4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996 Facsimile (702) 949-8321 Telephone (702) 949-8320

AWYERS

Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024 Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979 Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV State Bar No. 006593

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

In re:

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR

USA Commercial Mortgage Company,

Chapter 11

Debtor.

FIRST OMNIBUS OBJECTION OF USACM TRUST TO PROOFS OF CLAIM BASED ENTIRELY UPON INVESTMENT IN THE SVRB 2.325 LOAN

Hearing Date: September 30, 2011

Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.

Estimated Time for Hearing: 10 minutes

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

2223

2425

26

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing each Proof of Claim listed in **Exhibit A.** These claims were filed by Direct Lenders against USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM") based upon a loan to SVRB Investments, LLC (the "Borrower"). To differentiate this loan from another loan made to the Borrower, this loan was sometimes referred to as the "SVRB 2.325 Loan" and that is how the USACM Trust will refer to it here. The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow 80% of the SVRB 2.325 Claims and allow the remaining 20% of the SVRB 2.325 Claims. This Objection is supported by the Court's

LAWYERS

record and the Declarations of Geoffrey Berman and Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the SVRB 2.325 Loan filed with the Court today (the "Burr Decl.").

THIS OBJECTION DOES NOT RELATE TO AND WILL NOT IMPACT THE DIRECT LENDERS' RIGHTS TO REPAYMENT ON THE SVRB 2.325 LOAN, TO SHARE IN ANY PROCEEDS GENERATED FROM THE SALE OF THE REAL PROPERTY SECURING THE SVRB 2.325 LOAN OR TO SHARE IN THE RECOVERY OF ANY FUNDS FROM THE GUARANTOR FOR THE SVRB 2.325 LOAN.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. BACKGROUND FACTS

a. The USACM Bankruptcy

On April 13, 2006 ("Petition Date"), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor's post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as "Direct Lenders" in USACM's bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the "Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" as Modified Herein [Docket No.

LAWYERS

2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC ("Compass"), including the SVRB 2.325 Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District of Nevada, 3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC, et al, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that "Silar Advisors, LP ("Silar") financed Compass' acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement ("Repurchase Agreement") with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of Delaware." *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that "Effective as of September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution LLC ("Asset Resolution") and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset Resolution as a 'single purpose entity,' conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of Compass, including the Purchased Assets." (Citations omitted.) Asset Resolution LLC is now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ, along with certain affiliates. By Order entered on July 19, 2010 by the Hon. Robert

¹ 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC, Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean

LAWYERS

Cross, FLS. The SVRB 2.325 Loan, however, was not among the loans whose servicing rights were transferred to Cross, FLS.

C. Jones in the Asset Resolution Case, the servicing rights for 19 loans were transferred to

The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district court litigation and the ARC bankruptcy case, but has received limited information concerning servicing and resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or their successors, including the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution. The Trust's legal counsel has also been in contract with Platinum Properties 2, LLC ("Platinum"), which advised that Platinum purchased the Direct Lenders' interests in the SVRB 2.325 Loan and in the security agreements securing repayment of the SVRB 2.325 Loan. Platinum has provided the Trust with signed copies of "Assignments of Deed of Trust, Security Agreement and Assignment of Rents, and Promissory Note" for the Direct Lenders, which purport to assign to Platinum the Direct Lenders' respective interests in the Note and Deed of Trust. Platinum also advised that the Direct Lenders transferred the SVRB 2.325 Claims to Platinum, but the Trust has not been able to confirm with the respective Direct Lenders whether those claims were transferred, and the claims register does not list any such transfer. The following is the extent of the USACM Trust's information on the current servicing and status of the SVRB 2.325 Loan.

b. The SVRB 2.325 Loan

USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct Lenders soliciting funding for an acquisition and development loan to a borrower identified as "Rio Bravo Industrial Development, LLC." A copy of the Offer Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., \P 4.) The Offer Sheet stated that the

Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP Clear Lake SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.

LAWYERS

borrower was a joint venture between USA Investment partners and Robert Russell.² The total loan amount proposed was \$2,325,000. The Offer Sheet noted that the investment would be secured by a "subordinated deed of trust" on approximately 28 acres of land zoned for industrial development and a 50,000 square foot industrial building located at Rio Bravo and Prince Street off of Interstate 25 near the Albuquerque, New Mexico airport. The Offer Sheet further stated that the loan to value was approximately 93% based on an April 13, 2005 opinion of value letter from CB Richard Ellis, which valued the land at \$3.5 per square foot, with a sale value for the building of \$3,500,000. Pursuant to the Offer Sheet, an appraisal update for the land was in process.³ The loan was intended to be used "to repay existing borrowers partners and to begin the development process for the remaining phases" of the project, which included an eventual build out of 200,000 square feet of industrial warehouse space. *Id.*

On April 27, 2005, the Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a "Promissory Note Secured by Deed of Trust," in the amount of \$1,900,000 (the "Note") and a Loan Agreement. (Berman Decl. ¶ 5). The Loan Agreement and Note provided for an initial loan of \$1,900,000, with potential subsequent advances up to \$2,325,000. (Berman Decl. ¶ 5). The Note was secured by a "Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing (Second Position)" from Borrower in favor of the Direct Lenders that was recorded in the official records of Bernalillo County, New Mexico on April 29, 2005 at Instrument Number 2005059762. *Id.* The Loan Agreement expressly provided that the Deed of Trust "may be subordinated " to deeds of trust securing a construction or development loan,

² The loan was eventually made to a different entity, SVRB Investments, LLC, but that entity is also a joint venture between Robert Russell and USA IP pursuant to the Arizona Corporation Commission website.

³ The Trustee has not been able to locate a copy of the appraisal or the broker opinion of value.

provided that the amount of those loans does not exceed 80% of the value of the property. *Id.* The Loan Agreement also acknowledged that the Deed of Trust was already subordinated to a first position deed of trust and further acknowledged that any additional advances after the Note's effective date could be used to pay interest on the senior loan. *Id.* The Note was also supported by an Unconditional Guaranty executed by Tom Hantges, Joseph Milanowski, and Robert Russell on April 27, 2005. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.)

The USACM "Loan Summary" dated July 31, 2006 and filed in this case shows that Borrower was "Performing" on the Note as of July 31, 2006. (Berman Decl., ¶ 7.) The USACM "Loan Summary" dated February 28, 2007 and filed in this case shows that Borrower was in "Interest Default" as of February 28, 2007. *Id.* During this bankruptcy case through the transfer of servicing to Compass, USACM treated the Direct Lenders with respect to any interim payments by the borrower in accordance with this Court's orders.

Counsel for the Trustee had a conversation with a representative of Platinum regarding the status of the SVRB 2.325 Loan. According to Platinum, it purchased the Direct Lenders' interests in the Note and the Deed of Trust. In addition, Platinum also purchased the first position SVRB deed of trust, and has foreclosed on the collateral. Platinum also advised that the Direct Lenders assigned their claims against USACM to Platinum, however, no notice of assignment has been filed with the Court to date. The Trustee will serve a copy of this objection on Platinum to ensure that it has notice of this objection.

c. The SVRB 2.325 Claims

Exhibit A, attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear to be based upon an investment in the SVRB 2.325 Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) **Exhibit A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant's address, the total amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an

LAWYERS

investment in the SVRB 2.325 Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant. (Burr Declaration ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the "SVRB 2.325 Claims." As required by Nevada LR 3007, a copy of the first page of the proof of claim for each of the claims referenced in **Exhibit A** are attached as **Exhibit C**.

II. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.* (*In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.*), 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

IV. THE OBJECTIONS

USA Investment Partners ("USA IP") held an interest in the Borrower. As such, and unlike many of the other loans brokered by USACM, the SVRB 2.325 Loan was not

LAWYERS

completely arms' length. The Direct Lenders, however, did receive the second position security interest in the collateral promised them by the Borrower through the Offer Sheet. The Trust believes USACM is not liable for the Borrower's default but the Trustee recognizes the potential conflict of interest arising from USACM's brokering the loan for an affiliate, being the loan's servicer and then potentially not acting diligently to demand payment from a defaulting borrower. USACM, however, is not responsible for any decrease in the value of the collateral and the Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing in a promissory note secured by a subordinated lien on real property.

Consequently, requests an order disallowing 80% of each of these Proof of Claim listed in **Exhibit A** and allowing the remaining 20% of each of those claim. Platinum will be served with notice of this objection to give it an opportunity to provide proof that any or all of the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A** were assigned to it.

V. CONCLUSION

The claims against USACM listed in **Exhibit A** are based entirely upon an investment in the SVRB 2.325 Loan. The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow 80% of the SVRB 2.325 Claims and allow the remaining 20% of the SVRB 2.325 Claims. The USACM Trust also requests such other and further relief as is just and proper.

DATED this 5th day of August, 2011.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

By /s/ Marvin Ruth (#10979)

Rob Charles, NV 6593
John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (pro hac vice)
Marvin Ruth, NV 10979
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
Telephone: (702) 949-8200
Facsimile: (702) 949-8398
E-mail: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust

FW9506-10725-gwz Doc 8826 Entered 08/05/11 11:41:57 Page 9 of 9 LAWYERS Copy of the foregoing and pertinent portion of Exhibit A mailed by first class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on August 5, 2011 to all parties listed on Exhibit A attached. and to: Platinum Properties 2 Attn: Todd Hansen 2801 Fairview Place, Suite 2 Greenwood, IN 46142 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP /s/ Matt Burns Matt Burns